REPORT WORKSHOP BOULDER 2010-06-29

During workshop on Bioelectrography the following activity took place:


- 1. Second part of the workshop "EPC Sputnik" sensor was recording in an automatic mode.
- 2. Dough DeVito introduced The Reconnection technique to all 50 participants.
- 3. Dough DeVito and his assistant gave treatment to 5 volunteers. People were measured with EPC before and after treatment.
- 4. All participants meditated sending intentions to water measured with EPC before and after.
- 5. Kimba gave treatment to volunteer playing Dee-Gee-Doo.


Results

Time line of Sputnik parameters are presented at fig.1. Areas are marked as follows:

- 1. Lunch break.
- 2. Beginning of the workshop.
- 3. Dough DeVito introduced The Reconnective Healing.
- 4. Workshop in progress.
- 5. Meditation on water.
- 6. Dee-Gee-Doo treatment.

As we see from the graphs, the highest reaction was recorded at the moment when Dough DeVito was introducing The Reconnective Healing. Moments of Meditation on water and Dee-Gee-Doo treatment created some reaction as well.






Fig,1.

Water


Water was placed in a standard EPC device and measured in the dynamic mode. Then all participants were asked to meditate to this water for 10 min without touching the EPC device. Immediately after this the measurement was repeated.

As we see from the presented below graphs Electrophotonic signal of water changed statistically significant.



GDV Scientific Laboratory
Sample 1: Mean: 1.815; Std.error: 0.031; Confidence interval: 0.061
Sample 2: Mean: 1.732; Std.error: 0.045; Confidence interval: 0.088


By Student test samples have no statistically significant differences; p = 0



The Reconnective healing


All 10 EPC-grams of fingers were processed before and after healing. As we see from the graphs below for all participants' energy increase and state harmonization were recorded.

Anatoli



Sample 1: Mean: 1.200; Std.error: 0.133; Confidence interval: 0.261 Sample 2: Mean: 1.000; Std.error: 0.000; Confidence interval: 0.000


By Student test samples have no statistically significant differences; p = 0



© Kirlionics Technologies International

GDV Scientific Laboratory
Sample 1: Mean: 2010; Std.error: 0.023; Confidence Interval: 0.045
Sample 2: Mean: 1.972; Std.error: 0.043; Confidence Interval: 0.084


By Student test samples have no statistically significant differences; p = 0



Cayle

GDV Scientific Laboratory
Sample 1: Mean: 16086.600; Std.error: 344.403; Confidence interval: 675.166
Sample 2: Mean: 17286.400; Std.error: 235.848; Confidence interval: 462.354


By Student test samples are statistically dissimilar; p = 0



© Kirlionics Technologies International


GDV Scientific Laboratory
Sample 1: Mean: 2.261; Std.error: 0.197; Confidence interval: 0.385
Sample 2: Mean: 2.356; Std.error: 0.262; Confidence interval: 0.514

By Student test samples have no statistically significant differences; p = 0



GDV Scientific Laboratory
Sample 1: Mean: 1.918; Std.error: 0.053; Confidence interval: 0.103
Sample 2: Mean: 1.945; Std.error: 0.038; Confidence interval: 0.075


By Student test samples have no statistically significant differences; p = 0



Klee

GDV Scientific Laboratory
Sample 1: Mean: 14778.800; Std.error: 333.453; Confidence interval: 653.699
Sample 2: Mean: 18278.700; Std.error: 296.610; Confidence interval: 581.474


By Student test samples are statistically dissimilar; p = 0



© Kirlionics Technologies International


GDV Scientific Laboratory
Sample 1: Mean: 68.594; Std.error: 0.825; Confidence interval: 1.618
Sample 2: Mean: 81.656; Std.error: 1.069; Confidence interval: 2.096

By Student test samples are statistically dissimilar; p = 0



GDV Scientific Laboratory
Sample 1: Mean: 1.400; Std.error: 0.221; Confidence interval: 0.433
Sample 2: Mean: 1.000; Std.error: 0.000; Confidence interval: 0.000


By Student test samples have no statistically significant differences; p = 0



© Kirlionics Technologies International

GDV Scientific Laboratory
Sample 1: Mean: 2.053; Std.error: 0.063; Confidence interval: 0.123
Sample 2: Mean: 1.878; Std.error: 0.047; Confidence interval: 0.091


By Student test samples are statistically dissimilar; p = 0



Lori

GDV Scientific Laboratory
Sample 1: Mean: 15664.000; Std.error: 256.095; Confidence interval: 502.047
Sample 2: Mean: 18278.700; Std.error: 296.610; Confidence interval: 581.474


By Student test samples are statistically dissimilar; p = 0



© Kirlionics Technologies International


GDV Scientific Laboratory
Sample 1: Mean: 75.520; Std. error: 1.633; Confidence interval: 3.202
Sample 2: Mean: 81.656; Std.error: 1.069; Confidence interval: 2.096

By Student test samples are statistically dissimilar; p = 0



Sample 1: Mean: 1.100; Std.error: 0.100; Confidence interval: 0.196 Sample 2: Mean: 1.000; Std.error: 0.000; Confidence interval: 0.000

By Student test samples have no statistically significant differences; p = 0




© Kirlionics Technologies International

Montte


GDV Scientific Laboratory
Sample 1: Mean: 16447.200; Std.error: 642.296; Confidence interval: 1259.154
Sample 2: Mean: 17085.700; Std.error: 562.531; Confidence interval: 1102.783

By Student test samples have no statistically significant differences; p = 0



Sample 1: Mean: 80.104; Std.error: 1.732; Confidence interval: 3.395 Sample 2: Mean: 83.634; Std.error: 0.876; Confidence interval: 1.717


By Student test samples have no statistically significant differences; p = 0



© Kirlionics Technologies International

GDV Scientific Laboratory
Sample 1: Mean: 1.200; Std.error: 0.133; Confidence Interval: 0.261
Sample 2: Mean: 1.000; Std.error: 0.000; Confidence Interval: 0.000


By Student test samples have no statistically significant differences; p = 0



Dee-Gee-Doo treatment


Therese

All 10 EPC-grams of fingers were processed before and after healing. As we see from the graphs below for all participants' energy increase and state harmonization were recorded.



Sample 1: Mean: 78.578; Std.error: 1.590; Confidence interval: 3.116 Sample 2: Mean: 81.016; Std.error: 1.882; Confidence interval: 3.690

By Student test samples have no statistically significant differences; p = 0



© Kirlionics Technologies International

GDV Scientific Laboratory
Sample 1: Mean: 1,902; Std.error: 0.044; Confidence Interval: 0.086
Sample 2: Mean: 1,931; Std.error: 0.032; Confidence Interval: 0.063

By Student test samples have no statistically significant differences; p = 0

